Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,723	BARTLE, JOSEPH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alison K. Pickard	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application	·
(1) <u>Alison K. Pickard</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph McGlynn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 November 2005	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 14		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
Alu ilu line		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representativ	re Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called to suggest changes to make the claims allowable over the prior art of record. The changes related to further defining that the securing means (i.e. the lands and serpentine passageways) fix the seal element to rotate with the shaft and form a labyrinth seal to prevent leakage. The suggestion was approved and entered via examiner's amendment..